

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,971	11/10/1999	CHENGIUN JULIAN CHEN	YO999-426	8698	
7	7590 07/02/2003				
WILLIAM E LEWIS			EXAMINER		
RYAN & MAS 90 FOREST A	VENUE		EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR		
LOCUST VALLEY, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2654 DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	2654	3	
•					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/437,971 Applicant(s)

CHEN ET AL

Examiner

Patrick N.Edouard

Art Unit 2654

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	on the cover she	eet with	the correspondence address	
	for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
mailing	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In neg date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ind will expire SIX (6) in a specification to become	MONTHS f me ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			· '	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.	•	'	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			is/are pending in the application.	
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-20			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	t to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	_			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 🔞	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35	U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receiver	d.		
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receiver	d in Apr	plication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 11	7.2(a)).	,	
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)∐ a) [Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic purposes of the foreign language provisional	•			
a) ∟ 15) 🗍	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic properties.				
Attachm		priority and.	JU 0.0.	C. 53 120 dila/or 12	
,		4) Interview Sur	mmary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s)	
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Pater	nt Application (PTO-152)	
3) X Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)2	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5-7, 15 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellozy et al (5,649,060).

As per claim 1 and 19-20 Ellozy et al teach a method of processing audio-based data associated with particular language, the method comprising (figure 3):

"Storing the audio-based data" (his Audio/Video recording 12, col. 5, lines 5-20);

"Generating a textual representation of the audio-based data the textual representation being in the form of one or more semantic units corresponding to the audio-based data" (his Automatic Speech Recognizer 31 and his Decoded Text 38; col. 5, lines 30-35); and

"indexing the one or more semantic units and storing the one or more indexed semantic units for use in searching the stored audio-based data in response to a user query" (his indexing 60, col.7, lines 13-20).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 5, Ellozy et al teach wherein the generating step comprises decoding the audio-based data in accordance with a speech recognition (figure 3, his automatic Speech Recognizer 34, col. Col. 5, line 30-32).

As per claim 6, Ellozy et al teach wherein the speech recognition system employs a semantic unit based language model (col. 6, lines 47-65, his word language model).

As per claim 7, Ellozy et al teach wherein the indexing step comprises time stamping the one or more semantic units" (col. 5, lines 47 to col. 6, line 30, his time stamping of the indexed words).

As per claim 15, Ellozy et teach wherein the one or more semantic units are indexed according to at least one of when the audio based was produced and where the audio based data was produced (figure 3, his time alignment 42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellozy et al (5,649,060) in view of the Background of the invention.

Art Unit: 2654

It is noted that Ellozy teach a word based audio indexing but does not explicitly teach wherein the semantic unit is a syllable, wherein the syllable is a phonetically based syllable" and wherein the semantic unit is a morpheme. However, this features are well known in the art as evidenced by the Background of the invention which teaches a phone based indexing method and also teaches that a morpheme could be a word. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have it obvious to recognize that the word based audio indexing of Ellozy could be a syllable or a morpheme based audio indexing because it would provide a more efficient indexing method.

5. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellozy et al (5,640.0600 in view of Nanjo et al (5,778,361).

As per claims 11-13, it is noted Ellozy teaches the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach wherein the particular language is Chinese. However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Nanjo et al who teach a system for fast indexing and searching in compound word languages such as Chinese at the abstract. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to recognize the language used in Ellozy's system could be Chinese as taught by Nanjo because Nanjo teaches the benefit of using an improved method for indexing and searching Chinese language.

Art Unit: 2654

6. Claim 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellozy et al (5,649,060) in view of Orsolini et al (5,794,249)

Is it noted that Ellozy et al teach the automatic indexing and aligning of audio and text using speech recognition but does not explicitly teach :wherein the searching step comprises:

"Processing the user query to generate one or more semantic units representing the information that the user seek to retrieve"; "Searching the one or more indexed semantic units to find a substantial match with the one or more semantic units associated with the user query"; and "Retrieving one or more segments of the audio-based data using the one or more indexed semantic units that match the one or more semantic units associated with the user query".

However these features are well known in the art as evidenced by Orsolini et al who teach the searching step comprises:

"Processing the user query to generate one or more semantic units representing the information that the user seek to retrieve"; col. 1, line 65 to col. 2, line 9, the user choose a keyword and used to query the text balanced tree for each recording, col. 5, lines 28-43,);

"Searching the one or more indexed semantic units to find a substantial match with the one or more semantic units associated with the user query"; (col. 2, lines 3-9; col. 5, lines 45-55); and

"Retrieving one or more segments of the audio-based data using the one or more indexed semantic units that match the one or more semantic units associated with the user query" (col. 2, lines 10-24, col. 5, lines 45-61).

Application/Control Number: 09/437,971

Page 6

Art Unit: 2654

Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate into Ellozy's system a searching system as taught by Orsolini et al because it would provide for efficient content searching of recordings.

As per claim 9, Orsolini et al teach wherein the searching step further comprises presenting the retrieved data to the user" (col. 5, lines 45-48).

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellozy et al (5,649,060).

It is noted that Ellozy et al teach the claimed invention but does not explicitly teach the one or more semantic units are indexed according to speaker attributes. However, this feature is well known in the art. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made would have found it obvious to recognized that the semantics units in Ellozy's system are indexed according to speaker attributes because it would provide an efficient method of identifying the corresponding speakers.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry) Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2654

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is (703) 308-6725. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 07:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Marsha Banks-Harold, can be reached on (703) 305-4379.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-9508. Alternatively, facsimile messages

may be sent directly to (703) 305-9644 where they will be stored in the examiner's voice mailbox

(telling the examiner that a fax was received) and be automatically printed (i.e. - no delay by the

examiner).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patrick N. Edouard

June 26, 2003

ATRICKN. EDOUARD PATENT EXAMINED